We can't plant our way out of the climate crisis RESHAPING THE ROLE OF ARBORICULTURE IN TIMES OF CLIMATE EMERGENCY

Ing. Martin Tušer Chief Researcher and Business Development Director TREEIB[®], UTOI president January 11, 2023







TREEIB



#Climate change

#Large trees

#Ecosystem services

My mission is to **connect scientists, policymakers and local implementers** to bring the latest scientific knowledge into daily practice. My field of work is **combating climate change**, **urban landscape adaptation** to climate change, optimizing **tree ecosystem services**, and **advocacy** for large urban tree conservation.

I am also an entrepreneur, inventor, and president of a non-profit organization protecting large trees in cities.





#Urban forestry & arboriculture

#Personal mission



My connection to arboriculture





My connection to arboriculture





TREEIB®





Send your guess to chat:

HOW MANY TREES

do we have to plant to replace

ONE LARGE TREE?





The main message today: Large tree is irreplaceable asset The larger the tree is, the better In terms of ecosystem services







Today we celebrate the trees TREELB[®] that we will not be able to grow in the future anymore.

Judge Wyndham's Oak in Dorset

An ancient oak at Blenheim Palace, Woodstock, Oxfordshire





Dąb Dunin Lipa w Zakopanem

EVERYONE MUST SHIFT THEIR PARADIGM

Today we celebrate the trees TREEB[®] that we will not be able to grow in the future anymore.



Large trees provide significantly more ecosystem services (including carbon sequestration) than small trees. This relationship is not linear, but rather exponential.

At the same time, we are no longer able to routinely grow large trees in cities.





43% of carbon stored in 3% of trees

In October 2020, a new study (1) was published by a team of world-leading scientists, including William R. Moomaw, Professor Emeritus of International Environmental Policy at the Fletcher School, Tufts University. He is the lead author of the Nobel Prize-winning Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. "A recent study examining carbon storage in forests in the Pacific Northwest found that although large-diameter trees (≥21 inches) account for only **3%** of the total number of stems, they contribute **42%** of the total above-ground carbon storage. The researchers stress the importance of protecting large trees and strengthening existing forest management policies so that large trees can continue to sequester carbon and provide valuable ecosystem services as a cost-effective natural solution to climate change in forest ecosystems worldwide."

Mildrexler David J., Berner Logan T., Law Beverly E., Birdsey Richard A., Moomaw William R. (2020). Large Trees Dominate Carbon Storage in Forests East of the Cascade Crest in the United States Pacific Northwest, USA. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change, 3/2020, PAGES=127, https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/ffgc.2020.594274 DOI=10.3389/ffgc.2020.594274 ISSN=2624-893X





Life expectancy of trees in cities is 7-28 years

According to various sources (2), the **lifespan of trees in cities is 7-28 years**, which is very short and does not give trees the chance to provide the ecosystem services they could. The annual mortality rate of trees in cities is estimated at a maximum of 9%, or an annual tree survival rate of more than 91%. This study does not include any information on how trees grow. Unfortunately, **most newly planted trees in cities grow very slowly**.

(2) Roman, Lara & Scatena, Frederick. (2011). Street tree survival rates: Meta-analysis of previous studies and application to a field survey in Philadelphia, PA, USA. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening - URBAN FOR URBAN GREEN. 10. 269-274. 10.1016/j.ufug.2011.05.008. <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238003598 Street tree survival rates Meta-analysis of previous studies and application to a field survey in Philadelphia PA_USA</u>





IS PLANTING NEW TREES IN CITIES A GOOD SOLUTION TO THE CLIMATE CRISIS?

How Green Are Trees? — Using Life Cycle Assessment Methods to Assess Net Environmental Benefits

<u>https://meridian.allenpress.com/jeh/article/34/4/101/802</u> Y <u>99/How-Green-Are-Trees-Using-Life-Cycle-Assessment</u>

A newly planted city tree is CARBON NEUTRAL after 26-33 years

CONCLUSION: NOT REALLY A GOOD SOLUTION

Aaron C. Petri, Andrew K. Koeser, Sarah T. Lovell, Dewayne Ingram; How Green Are Trees? — Using Life Cycle Assessment Methods to Assess Net Environmental Benefits. Journal of Environmental Horticulture 1 December 2016; 34 (4): 101–110. doi: https://doi.org/10.24266/0738-2898-34.4.101

TREEIB®

HOW MANY TREES

do I have to plant to replace

ONE LARGE TRE?

Time to review your answers from chat









Caact aartool Ceb 1884855 100RSE 2.9.0% maintentionan orici stantionan basi Janato an gatatat are aya maranten a,8% 180AG 1105 86085











CARBON STORED

Red oak (Quercus Rubra) Height: 30 m DBH: 136 cm

DBH: 31 cm Height: 15,2 m Age: 29

DBH: 15 cm Height: 12,2 m Age: 16

DBH: 10 cm Height: 7,62 m Age: 10





OAKS NEEDED 465

DBH: 5 cm Height: 3 m Age: 7

OAKS **NEEDED** 3068

DBH: 2 cm Height: 1,37 m Age: 3



TREEIB

WE WERE LOOKING FOR AN ANSWER TO THE QUESTION:

HOW MANY TREES $\bigcap \bigcirc$ HAVE TO PLANT REPLACE ONE BIG TRE?

The whole article

Carbon stored in 1 tree by tree age, equivalent to CO2

The planting of about 3 068 seven-year-old trees (those planted in cities) or 48 061 three-year-old trees can be considered as a full replacement of a large tree. If the wood of the initial tree is burnt, this figure must be doubled. If we include the carbon footprint of other associated activities, e.g. planting, etc., we can even get to a figure of 10 000 trees per large one.

Carbon stored / in CO2 (kg)



				25500
The autho	r of the calculation	ns is Robert Leverett, are made ex	a member of Prof. Mo clusively for us.	omaw's team. The ca
100)00	15000	20000	25000

TREEIB



30000

WE WERE LOOKING FOR AN ANSWER TO THE QUESTION:

HOWMANY TREES $\square \bigcirc \square$ HAVE TO PLANT REPLACE ONE BIG TRE?

Annual carbon sequestration by tree age, converted to CO2

It no longer takes that many trees to fully replace a large tree in terms of carbon sequestration, but the numbers are still high. The point is that there simply isn't room for those numbers. It is often the case that we can no longer plant a new tree where there was a big old tree. Replacement planting is done somewhere outside the city. If we count on the trees to grow immediately after planting, which is usually not the case, we need, for example, 64 seven-year-old trees or 3.4 thirty-year-old trees.

Yearly carbon sequestration / eq. of CO2 / kg



BUT: IT ALL DEPENDS ON THE GROWTH OF TH

This model calculation somewhat lowers the standard growth of a large tree (a conservative assumption) and increases the growth of a young tree. UTOI works on the basis of real data measured in the field. The above is a model case.

				243,8	
72					
	The author of the ca	alculations is Rober ai	t Leverett, a membe re made exclusively	er of Prof. Moomaw for us.	's team. The cal
	100	150	200	250	300
HE 1	TREE.				

TREEIB

culations

WHAT COULD BE THE RESULTS

if we start supporting our existing green infrastructure

The tables below show how the amount of carbon sequestered (converted to CO2) varies depending on how the tree grows. If we can significantly improve the growth of the tree, the carbon sequestered could be significantly higher than it is now without care.



It is important to note that each tree species sequesters carbon at a different rate. These calculations are all for red oak.

Oak tree, approx. 100 years old, height 30,5 m, trunk diameter 136 cm

Annual sequestration / CO2 equivalent/ kg	Annual increment of trunk diameter / mm	Annual height gain / m	Annual sequestration / CO2 equivalent/ kg	Annual increment of trunk diameter / mm	Annual height gai
101,50	1	0,03	13,82	1	0,1
243,79	2,413	0,07	73,83	6,35	0,3
364,10	4	0,07	89,70	8	0,3
390,20	4	0,1	115,57	8	0,8
477,10	4	0,2	128,90	12	0,3
728,10	5	0,4	415,23	12	0,6



Oak, age about 30 years, Height 15,2 m, trunk diameter 30,5 cm.



Our opinions are supported by others around the globe



Simplified representation of one approach to estimating the optimal rotation period of trees in urban environments. It is based on the financial benefits that trees provide. It is a concept that is based on estimated values. It demonstrates the principle, the reality in different places may of course be different. Because of the different local conditions, it is therefore important to treat the illustration with caution and not to enforce it across the board, always and everywhere.

According to forest management theory, the optimum time to fell a tree/stand is the time when the curve of annual actual growth and average annual growth intersect (grey color). It is called **the clearing maturity** and in this example it is 51 years. If we extrapolate this principle to the **urban environment**, ignoring timber production and focusing on other, more important benefits that trees provide to people in the city, the curves change. The current annual benefit (green) and the average annual benefit (red) intersect at about 270 years of age (blue arrow). Felling an urban tree at age 80 will only deliver 25% of the total potential (potential) benefits (green area) that the tree could deliver if it were not felled. We can also say that 75% of the benefits that the tree can provide are lost due to premature removal.

JEREMY BARRELL

Jeremy has spent his entire career caring for trees and promoting a pragmatic approach to their conservation in the built environment. His passion is writing and he regularly gives talks and seminars to other professionals and enthusiasts around the world.

Jeremvs BIO: /ww.barrelltreecare.co.uk/assets/Uploads/J-Barrell-CV.pdf





IT MAKES ECONOMIC SENSE using trees we already have to mitigate climate change and use the current infrastructure to adapt to climate change.





IT MAKES ECONOMIC SENSE using trees we already have to mitigate climate change and use the current infrastructure to adapt to climate change.

WHAT CAN WE DO?





IT MAKES ECONOMIC SENSE using trees we already have to mitigate climate change and use the current infrastructure to adapt to climate change.

Reshape the focus of arboriculture Take personal action at the local, national and global level.



WHAT CAN WE DO?

DEFINITION:

The Arboricultural Association defines arboriculture as the science and practice of the cultivation, establishment and management of amenity trees for the benefit of society...

... Ultimately the meaning is the same: arboriculture is tree care.

It is not enough in time of climate emergency.

for the benefit of society is very vague definition 2. it is not done anyway



DEFINITION: Urban and peri-urban forestry is the practice of managing urban forests to ensure their optimal contributions to the physiological, sociological, and economic wellbeing of urban societies" (*).

FAO. 2016. Guidelines on urban and peri-urban forestry, by F. Salbitano, S. Borelli, M. Conigliaro and Y. Chen. FAO Forestry Paper, No.-178. Rome, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

It is not enough in time of climate emergency.

- well-being of urban societies shouldn't be the core objective anymore
- 2. it is not done anyway



Someone told me urban trees do not deliver anything to climate but more to microclimate (local ecosystem services).





Someone told me urban trees do not deliver anything to climate but more to microclimate (local ecosystem services).

I do not agree.





do not agree.

Urban forest in the US stores about 800 millions ton of carbon (3 billion of tons CO_{2}) $CO_2 = C \times 3,664$ and sequesters 150 millions ton of CO_2 .

The US yearly emissions are about 5 billion CO_2

5% of total emissions



Someone told me urban trees do not deliver anything to climate but more to microclimate (local ecosystem services).

IKEEIB

do not agree.

Urban forest in the US stores about 800 millions ton of carbon (3 billion of tons CO_{2}) $CO_2 = C \times 3,664$ and sequesters 150 millions ton of CO_2 .

The US yearly emissions are about 5 billion CO_2

5% of total emissions

If we triple the urban trees grow, we cover 15% of total emissions



Someone told me urban trees do not deliver anything to climate but more to microclimate (local ecosystem services).

IKEEIB

You are the ones who can have a direct impact, and I offer you to consider an alternative way of doing your job.

Transform your job from reactive arboriculture to conservation arboriculture. A new term can be climate change mitigation arboriculture.

Become evangelists or ambassadors of such as approach.

EEIB®

Talking about how important trees and acting in opposite way



Replacing large trees with small crown cultivars



Talking about how important trees and acting in opposite way

Thinking a large tree removed can be replaced by one newly planted tree



Replacing large trees with small crown cultivars



Not replacing removed trees at all

































Talking about how important trees and acting in opposite way

Thinking a large tree removed can be replaced by one newly planted tree

Removing large trees, which grew up in periods when there was less stressors and reached maturity



Replacing large trees with small crown cultivars



Not replacing removed trees at all

Being reactive not proactive



Talking about how important trees and acting in opposite way

Thinking a large tree removed can be replaced by one newly planted tree

Removing large trees, which grew up in periods when there was less stressors and reached maturity

Not communicating the real climate value of trees to public





Replacing large trees with small crown cultivars Not replacing removed trees at all

Being reactive not proactive

/	7	
-	4	

Being oriented towards the future, not the present





Planting large amounts of trees



Not supporting the fact that removing carbon anywhere around the globe has the same value



Planting large amounts of trees

Not adopting new technologies





Not supporting the fact that removing carbon anywhere around the globe has the same value

Not supporting the localization of ecosystem services



Planting large amounts of trees

Not adopting new technologies

Not really protecting the biodiversity (e.g. stump grinding)





Not supporting the fact that removing carbon anywhere around the globe has the same value

Not supporting the localization of ecosystem services

Add some!



Planting large amounts of trees

Not adopting new technologies

Not really protecting the biodiversity (e.g. stump grinding)

Not explaining that ecosystem disservices are insignificant

NO MONEY FOR THAT? It is about changing the approach and positioning of the industry Arboriculture (urban forestry) can become one of the most important industries in cities

Not supporting the fact that removing carbon anywhere around the globe has the same value

Not supporting the localization of ecosystem services

Add some!

Add some!





You are the ones who can have a direct impact, and I offer you to consider an alternative way of doing your job.

Transform your job from reactive arboriculture to conservation arboriculture. A new term can be climate change mitigation arboriculture.

Become evangelists or ambassadors of such as approach.



Contact:

Ing. Martin Tušer +420 607 655 614 martin@treeib.com www.treeib.com





TREEIB®